

## REMARKS

### I. Status of the Claims

Claims 1-55 are pending, and claims 1-8 and 28-36 are under examination. Claims 9-27 and 37-55 have been withdrawn pursuant to a restriction requirement. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 28-32 and 34-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Adler *et al.* Claims 5 and 33 stand objected to. The specific grounds for rejection, and applicants' response thereto, are set out in detail below.

### II. Restriction and Rejoinder


Applicants note and appreciate the examiner's detailed discussion of the restriction requirement and conditions for possible rejoinder of method claims. Applicants believe that method claims, as amended herein, contain subject matter that is commensurate in scope with allowable composition claims. Thus, rejoinder of claims 11, 12, and 14-24 is respectfully requested.

### III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 28-32 and 34-36 stand rejected under §102(b) over Adler *et al.* Applicants have amended claims 1, 11, 19 and 28 to include the limitation of objected claims 5 and 33, namely, oral formulation. Thus, it is believed that the amended claims are novel over Adler *et al.* Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is therefore respectfully requested.

IV. **Conclusion**

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (512) 536-3184 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,  
  
Steven L. Highlander  
Reg. No. 37,642  
Attorney for Applicants

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2400  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 536-3184

Date: February 4, 2004